

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/677,347	10/02/2000	Dieter Weidhaus	WEIDHAUS ET AL I 3543			
7:	590 07/29/2004	EXAMINER				
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			DOROSHENK, ALEXA A			
1077 Northern Boulevard Roslyn, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1764			

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Applicatio	n No.		Applicant(s)		
		09/677,347		WEIDHAUS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner P		Art Unit			
	,		اً باسمام مام معاد	θι _{ές}		()	I_{III}
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Alexa A. Do		et with the c	1764 orrespondence ac	ddress	$\frac{1}{2}$
Period fo		peuro on me	00101 0110				_
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appli	nt, however, r tory minimum expire SIX (6 cation to beco	may a reply be tim of thirty (30) days b) MONTHS from ome ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communicatio	n.
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 M	<i>1ay 2004</i> .					
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is no	n-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				e merits i	S
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are withd Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction and/o	lrawn from c					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🖾 :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 October 2000</u> is/are		pted or b)) ☐ objected	to by the Examir	ner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be	held in al	beyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is require	d if the dra	awing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Not	e the atta	ached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have beer ts have beer rity docume u (PCT Rule	received received nts have to 17.2(a)).	I. I in Application been receive	on No d in this National	Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/01.		Pape 5) [Notic	view Summary er No(s)/Mail Da ce of Informal Pa er:		O-152)	

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-8, in the reply filed on May 28, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter of the claims is sufficiently related that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because establishing that the inventions are classified in different classes and/or subclasses establishes that a serious burden exists on the examiner if restriction is not required.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1764

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (5,382,412).

Kim et al. discloses an apparatus comprising:

a pressure-supporting enclosure (2);

an inner reactor tube (1) within said enclosure (2) and made from a material which exhibits high transmission for thermal radiation;

an inlet (30) at a top of said enclosure (2) for silicon particles (5);

an inlet device (9 & 13) for supplying a reaction gas which contains a supplying a reaction gas silicon compound in gas or vapor form, the inlet device (6) being of tubular (see figure 4) design and dividing the fluidized bed into a heating zone (10) and a reaction zone (above 10) situated above the heating zone;

a gas-distribution device (8) at a bottom of said enclosure (2) for feeding a fluidizing gas into the heating zone;

an outlet (29) at the top of said enclosure for reaction gas which has not fully reacted, fluidizing gas and products of reaction which are in gas or vapor form and collect above a fluidized-bed surface (19);

an outlet (20) at the bottom of said enclosure (2) for a product (33 a heater device and an energy supply and for the heater device (23); and wherein the heater device (23) is a radiation source for thermal radiation which is arranged outside the inner reactor tube and as a cylinder around the heating zone (10), without being in direct contact with the inner reactor tube, and is designed in such a

Art Unit: 1764

manner that it uses thermal radiation to heat the silicon particles in the heating zone to a temperature which is such that the reaction temperature is established in the reaction zone (see figure 1-4).

With respect to claim 2, Kim et al. disclose wherein a between the reaction tube (1) and enclosure (2) is provided with thermal insulation (27a).

With respect to claim 3, Kim et al. discloses wherein the radiation source formed is cylindrical (col. 7, lines 38-57).

Claims 4 and 5 continue to read on the device of Kim et al. since no additional structural elements have been recited in the claims. An apparatus claim covers what a device is, not what a device does. MPEP 2114.

With respect to claim 6, Kim et al. disclose wherein the heater device is a quartz tube (col. 6, lines 57-60).

With respect to claim 8, Kim et al. disclose wherein the components of the reactor which come into contact with the product are of inert materials (col. 6, lines 57-60 and col. 9, lines 59-62).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art neither teaches nor suggests a fluidized-bed reactor, substantially

Art Unit: 1764

as claimed in claim 1, wherein the heater device is a slotted tube made of graphite with a SiC coating arranged in the reactor standing on or hanging from electrode terminals.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexa A. Doroshenk whose telephone number is 571-272-1446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexa A. Doroshenk

Examiner Art Unit 1764